

A NOTE ON INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS OF ALTERNATING GROUPS

KENSHI KIDA

(Received April 5, 1991)

Abstract. This paper presents the set of all classes of integral representations rationally equivalent to a certain \mathbf{Z} -representation of A_{n+1} .

1980 Mathematics subject classification(1985 Revision). Primary 16A64.

Keywords. alternating group, invariant lattice, integral representations

§1. Introduction.

For the symmetric group S_{n+1} of degree $n + 1$,

$$\mathbf{F}_n((k, k + 1)) = \mathbf{E}_{k, k-1} + 2\mathbf{E}_{k, k} + \mathbf{E}_{k, k+1} - \mathbf{I}_n, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$$

gives a \mathbf{Z} -representation of degree n , which is absolutely irreducible by Young's representation theory. Define the matrix $\mathbf{U}_n(d)$ by

$$\mathbf{U}_n(d) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (d\mathbf{E}_{ii} + (-1)^{n-i+1}i\mathbf{E}_{in}) + \mathbf{E}_{nn}$$

for a positive integer d . The following result was shown by M.Craig.

THEOREM (CRAIG[1]). *The $\mathbf{F}_n^d = \mathbf{U}_n(d)^{-1}\mathbf{F}_n\mathbf{U}_n(d)$ for $d \mid n + 1$ are \mathbf{Z} -inequivalent \mathbf{Z} -representations of S_{n+1} , and give all classes of integral representations rationally equivalent to \mathbf{F}_n .*

By the way, \mathbf{F}_n which is regarded as a representation of the alternating group A_{n+1} of degree $n + 1$ is as follows

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{F}_n((1, 2, 3)) - \mathbf{I}_n = -\mathbf{E}_{1,1} + \mathbf{E}_{1,2} + \mathbf{E}_{1,3} - \mathbf{E}_{2,1} - 2\mathbf{E}_{2,2} - \mathbf{E}_{2,3}, \\ \mathbf{F}_n((1, 2)(k, k + 1)) - \mathbf{I}_n = -2\mathbf{E}_{1,1} - \mathbf{E}_{1,2} - \mathbf{E}_{k, k-1} - 2\mathbf{E}_{k, k} - \mathbf{E}_{k, k+1} \end{array} \right. \quad (3 \leq k \leq n).$$

It is well known that, above \mathbf{F}_n for $n \geq 3$ is an absolutely irreducible representation. In this paper, we will determine the set of all classes of integral representations rationally equivalent to the representation \mathbf{F}_n of A_{n+1} .

§2. Preliminaries.

Let G be a finite group of order g and $\mathbf{F} : G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$ be an absolutely irreducible representation of degree n . For $\mathbf{U} = (u_{ij}) \in GL_n(\mathbf{Q})$,

$$\Phi(h) = \mathbf{U}^{-1}\mathbf{F}(h)\mathbf{U}, \quad h \in G$$

is a \mathbf{Q} -representation of G which is \mathbf{Q} -equivalent to \mathbf{F} . We may clearly suppose the u_{ij} to be integers, such that

$$\text{G.C.D.}(u_{ij}) = 1.$$

We will make use of the following five results which are due to Craig [1].

LEMMA 2.1. *If $\Psi = \mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{V}$ is a second such representation, then Φ, Ψ are \mathbf{Z} -equivalent iff for some unimodular \mathbf{W} , we have $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{W}$.*

For $\mathbf{A} \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$, we denote the i -th column by \mathbf{a}_i and define

$$\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{a}_n.$$

The action of G as a group of linear transformations of \mathbf{R}^n is given by

$$(h, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(h)\mathbf{x}, \quad \text{for } h \in G, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

LEMMA 2.2. *The condition for Φ to be a \mathbf{Z} -representation, is that $\langle \mathbf{U} \rangle$ should be a G -submodule (invariant sublattice) of $\langle \mathbf{I}_n \rangle$.*

COROLLARY. *Equivalently, Φ is integral iff all columns of $\mathbf{F}(h)\mathbf{U}$ lie in $\langle \mathbf{U} \rangle$, for all $h \in G_0$, where G_0 denotes a fixed set of generators for G . (That is, instead of testing $\mathbf{F}(h)\mathbf{x} \in \langle \mathbf{U} \rangle$ for all $h \in G$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \langle \mathbf{U} \rangle$, it is enough to examine the action of generators for G upon generators for $\langle \mathbf{U} \rangle$.)*

LEMMA 2.3. *If Φ is a \mathbf{Z} -representation, then $\langle \mathbf{U} \rangle$ has $\langle (g/n)\mathbf{I}_n \rangle$ as a sublattice.*

LEMMA 2.4. *Suppose $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ is the factorization of $m = g/n$ in powers of distinct primes, and set $q_i = m/p_i^{\alpha_i}$. Then the G -invariant lattices $\langle \mathbf{U} \rangle$ with $\langle \mathbf{I}_n \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{U} \rangle \supset \langle m\mathbf{I}_n \rangle$, are precisely the $\langle \mathbf{U} \rangle = \sum_1^r q_i \langle \mathbf{U}_i \rangle$, where for each i , $\langle \mathbf{U}_i \rangle$ denote a G -invariant lattice such that $\langle \mathbf{I}_n \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{U}_i \rangle \supset \langle p_i^{\alpha_i} \mathbf{I}_n \rangle$.*

§3. All Classes of Integral Representations Rationally Equivalent to \mathbf{F}_n .

In this section our purpose is to establish:

THEOREM. *The $\mathbf{F}_n^d = \mathbf{U}_n(d)^{-1}\mathbf{F}_n\mathbf{U}_n(d)$ for $d \mid n+1$ are \mathbf{Z} -inequivalent \mathbf{Z} -representations of A_{n+1} , and give all classes of integral representations rationally equivalent to \mathbf{F}_n .*

Our proof will be based on the treatment given by Craig[1]. The integral representations \mathbf{Q} -equivalent to \mathbf{F}_n will be determined by finding the A_{n+1} -invariant lattices $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle \supset \langle m\mathbf{I}_n \rangle$, where $m = (n+1)!/2n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$. We first determine those $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle \supset \langle p^a\mathbf{I}_n \rangle$, where p is any prime and $a > 0$. Because $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle = \langle \mathbf{U}_n \mathbf{W} \rangle$ for any $\mathbf{W} \in GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$, we may assume that $u_{ii} > 0$ for every i , and $u_{ij} = 0$ for $i > j$. Hence \mathbf{U}_n can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{U}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{n-1}^* & \mathbf{v} \\ 0 & u_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

LEMMA 3.1. *\mathbf{U}_n (normalized as described above) has the property that*

$$u_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{u_{nn}}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbf{F}_n((1, 2)(k, k+1))\mathbf{U}_n$ has columns in $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle$ if and only if $(\mathbf{F}_n((1, 2)(k, k+1)) - \mathbf{I}_n)\mathbf{U}_n$ has. Therefore, by the simple calculation, it is easily shown that the statement is correct in the case $n = 3$. Suppose inductively, that every \mathbf{U}_{n-1} has above property.

Inspection of \mathbf{F}_n above, shows that for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$,

$$\mathbf{F}_n((1, 2)(k, k+1)) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}_{n-1}((1, 2)(k, k+1)) & \mathbf{f}((1, 2)(k, k+1)) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, and for suitable column vectors $\mathbf{w}((1, 2)(k, k+1))$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{F}_n((1, 2)(k, k+1))\mathbf{U}_n \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}_{n-1}((1, 2)(k, k+1))\mathbf{U}_{n-1}^* & \mathbf{w}((1, 2)(k, k+1)) \\ 0 & u_{nn} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $\mathbf{F}_n((1, 2)(k, k+1))\mathbf{U}_n$ has columns in $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle$, $\mathbf{F}_{n-1}((1, 2)(k, k+1))\mathbf{U}_{n-1}^*$ has columns in $\langle \mathbf{U}_{n-1}^* \rangle$. Thus, there exist an A_n -invariant lattice \mathbf{U}_{n-1} and an integer λ such that

$$\mathbf{U}_{n-1}^* = \lambda\mathbf{U}_{n-1}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad u_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{u_{n-1, n-1}}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1.$$

Next, the $(n-1)$ -th column of $(\mathbb{F}_n((1,2)(n,n+1)) - \mathbb{I}_n)\mathbf{U}_n$ belongs to $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle$, so that $u_{n-1,n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{u_{nn}}$. Thus, by (3.1), we get

$$(3.2) \quad u_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{u_{nn}}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1.$$

Additionally, for $2 \leq k \leq n$, the n -th column of $(\mathbb{F}_n((1,2)(k,k+1)) - \mathbb{I}_n)\mathbf{U}_n$ belongs to $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle$, so that we conclude

$$(3.3) \quad u_{k-1,n} + 2u_{k,n} + u_{k+1,n} \equiv 0 \pmod{u_{kk}}.$$

Letting k run through the values $2, 3, \dots, n$ in reverse order, we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad u_{in} \equiv 0 \pmod{u_{nn}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Hence, by (3.2) (3.4), we get

$$u_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{u_{nn}}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

COROLLARY. *The coefficient u_{nn} is 1.*

EXAMPLE. We shall determine all possibilities for \mathbf{U}_3 . The lattice $\langle \mathbf{U}_3 \rangle$ contains the columns of the $(\mathbb{F}_3((1,2)(k,k+1)) - \mathbb{I}_3)\mathbf{U}_3$, for $k = 2, 3$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\langle \mathbf{U}_3 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{U}_3(d) \rangle \quad \text{for } d = 1, 2 \text{ or } 4.$$

(We remark that the above example proves the Lemma 3.2 in the case $n = 3$.)

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle$ be an A_{n+1} -invariant lattice containing $\langle p^a \mathbb{I}_n \rangle$, and let $\text{G.C.D.}(u_{ij}) = 1$. Then $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle = \langle \mathbf{U}_n(p^b) \rangle$ for some b ($a \geq b \geq 0$) such that $p^b \mid n+1$.*

Proof. Previously, the case $n=3$ was verified. Suppose inductively, that the statement is correct with $n-1$ in place of n .

(i) Suppose that p does not divide n . Therefore $\langle \mathbf{U}_{n-1}^* \rangle = \langle p^b \mathbb{I}_{n-1} \rangle$, where $a \geq b \geq 0$. The last column $(\mathbb{F}_n((1,2,3)) - \mathbb{I}_n)\mathbf{U}_n$ is element of $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle$. Thus,

$$2u_{1n} + u_{2n} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^b}.$$

Hence, by (3.3), we have

$$(3.5) \quad u_{k-1,n} + 2u_{k,n} + u_{k+1,n} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^b}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

By induction on k , (3.5) gives

$$(3.6) \quad u_{k+1,n} \equiv (-1)^k(k+1)u_{1n} \pmod{p^b}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

In particular,

$$u_{n-1,n} \equiv (-1)^{n-2}(n-1)u_{1n} \pmod{p^b},$$

$$(3.7) \quad 1 \equiv (-1)^{n-1}nu_{1n} \pmod{p^b},$$

so that, we have

$$(3.8) \quad u_{1n} \equiv (-1)^{n-1}(u_{n-1,n} + 1) \pmod{p^b}.$$

Because $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle$ contains the last column of $(\mathbf{F}_n((1,2)(n,n+1)) - \mathbf{I}_n)\mathbf{U}_n$, we get $u_{1n}(u_{n-1,n} + 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^b}$. Multiplying by $(-1)^{n-1}n$ and using (3.7), we conclude that $u_{n-1,n} \equiv -2 \pmod{p^b}$. Thus, by (3.8), we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad u_{1n} \equiv (-1)^n \pmod{p^b}.$$

Hence, (3.6) and (3.9) imply $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle = \langle \mathbf{U}_n(p^b) \rangle$.

(ii) Suppose $p \mid n$. By the inductive hypothesis,

$$\langle \mathbf{U}_{n-1}^* \rangle = p^{b-c} \langle \mathbf{U}_{n-1}(p^c) \rangle,$$

for some b, c where $a \geq b \geq c \geq 0$. As before (cf. (3.7)), we obtain that $(-1)^{n-1}nu_{1n} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{b-c}}$. This implies $\text{G.C.D.}(n, p^{b-c}) = 1$. Therefore, $p \mid n$ shows $b = c$, so that $\langle \mathbf{U}_{n-1}^* \rangle = \langle \mathbf{U}_{n-1}(p^c) \rangle$. In particular, $u_{n-1,n-1} = 1$, hence we may assume $u_{n-1,n} = 0$. Setting $k = 1$ in (3.5), we see $2u_{1n} + u_{2n} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^c}$. The last column of $(\mathbf{F}_n((1,2)(n-1,n)) - \mathbf{I}_n)\mathbf{U}_n$ belongs to $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle$. This implies

$$(3.10) \quad u_{n-2,n} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^c}.$$

Additionally, $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle$ contains the $n-1$ -th column of $(\mathbf{F}_n((1,2)(n,n+1)) - \mathbf{I}_n)\mathbf{U}_n$, that is $-\mathbf{e}_n$, so that, we find

$$(3.11) \quad u_{n-2,n} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^c}.$$

Hence, (3.10) and (3.11) give that $1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^c}$. This shows that

$$\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle = \langle \mathbf{I}_n \rangle.$$

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle$ be invariant over $\langle m\mathbf{I}_n \rangle$, where $\text{G.C.D.}(u_{ij}) = 1$ and $m = (n+1)!/2n$. Then $\langle \mathbf{U}_n \rangle = \langle \mathbf{U}_n(d) \rangle$ for some $d \geq 1$ such that $d \mid n+1$.*

Proof. See(Craig [1]).

COROLLARY. *Every $\langle U_n(d) \rangle$ for which $d \mid n + 1$ is indeed invariant over $\langle mI_n \rangle$.*

Proof. Straightforward.

LEMMA 3.4. *Suppose, for divisors d_1, d_2 of $n + 1$, the representations $F_n^{d_1}, F_n^{d_2}$ are \mathbb{Z} -equivalent. Then $d_1 = d_2$.*

Proof. See(Craig [1]).

This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Craig, *A characterization of certain extreme forms*, Illinois J. Math. 20(1976), 706-717.
- [2] W. Curtis and I. Reiner, "Methods of Representation Theory Vol.I" Wiley-interscience 1981.

Department of Mathematics
Science University of Tokyo
26 Wakamiya, Shinjuku-ku,
Tokyo 162, Japan