

INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS OF CYCLIC GROUPS

Kenshi KIDA

(Received November 4, 1994)

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to determine the set of non-isomorphic indecomposable RG -lattices, where R is a certain ring of algebraic integers, and G is a cyclic group of prime order.

AMS 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16S34; Secondary 16U60.

Key words and phrases. Cyclic group, extension, indecomposable module, integral representations.

§1. Introduction.

Let G be a finite group, and let R be a ring of integers. By RG , we denote the group ring consisting of all formal combinations of the elements of G with coefficients in R . We shall here be concerned with representations of G by matrices with entries in R , or equivalently, with left RG -modules having a free finite R -basis.

The first systematic study of this problem occurred in a paper by Diederichsen [1]. Let G denote a cyclic group generated by an element g of prime order p . Also we set

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p), \quad S = \text{alg. int.}\{K\} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p],$$

where for a positive integer s , ζ_s is a primitive s -th root of 1 over \mathbb{Q} . The following result was shown:

Theorem. (Diederichsen [1], Reiner [3]). *Every $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module M is isomorphic to a direct sum*

$$(A_1, a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (A_r, a_r) \oplus A_{r+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n \oplus Y$$

where the $\{A_\nu\}$ are S -ideals in K , the $\{a_\nu\}$ are chosen so that $a_i \in A_i, a_i \notin (\zeta_p - 1)A_i$, and Y is a \mathbb{Z} -module having a finite \mathbb{Z} -basis such that $gy = y$ for all $y \in Y$. The isomorphism class of M is determined by the integers r, n , the \mathbb{Z} -rank of Y , and the ideal class of $A_1 \cdots A_n$ in K .

In this paper, we shall classify all left RG -modules, where G is a cyclic group of order p , and

$$R = \text{alg. int.}\{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)\} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q].$$

Our proof will be based on the treatment given by Heller-Reiner [2].

§2. Representations of a cyclic group of order p

Throughout this section, let G be a cyclic group generated by an element σ of prime order p .

For convenience, we set

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q], \quad B = R[\zeta_p] = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{pq}],$$

where p and q are distinct odd primes. We have ring isomorphisms

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{RG}{(\sigma - 1)RG} \simeq R,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{RG}{(\Phi_p(\sigma))RG} \simeq B,$$

given by $\sigma \mapsto 1$, and $\sigma \mapsto \zeta_p$, respectively, where $\Phi_p(x)$ is the cyclotomic polynomial of order p (and degree $p - 1$). By (2.1) and (2.2), both R and B are left RG -modules.

Let M be any RG -module, and set

$$N = \{m \in M ; (\sigma - 1)m = 0\}.$$

Then N is an RG -submodule of M annihilated by $(\sigma - 1)$. Therefore we may consider that N is R -torsion-free.

Hence there exist ideals I_1, I_2, \dots, I_t of R such that

$$N \simeq I_1 \oplus I_2 \oplus \dots \oplus I_t.$$

This gives the structure of N both as R -module and as RG -module.

On the other hand M/N is annihilated by $\Phi_p(\sigma)$, so that it may be viewed as B -module. Also M/N is B -torsion-free. Therefore there exist ideals J_1, J_2, \dots, J_u of B such that

$$M/N \simeq J_1 \oplus J_2 \oplus \dots \oplus J_u.$$

This shows that M/N is considered both as B -module and as RG -module. The problem of classifying all RG -modules is reduced to that of determining extensions of $J_1 \oplus J_2 \oplus \dots \oplus J_u$ by $I_1 \oplus I_2 \oplus \dots \oplus I_t$.

For the rest of this section, we write Ext in place of Ext_{RG}^1 . Since RG is a commutative ring, we may view Ext itself as RG -module.

Lemma. *There are RG -isomorphisms*

$$\text{Ext}(B_j, A_i) \simeq A_i/pA_i,$$

where integral ideals A_1, \dots, A_{h_R} are representatives of the h_R distinct ideal classes of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$, and integral ideals B_1, \dots, B_{h_B} are representatives of the h_B distinct ideal classes of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{pq})$.

Proof. By (2.2), the following sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Phi_p(\sigma) \cdot RG \xrightarrow{\tau} RG \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Then, for every B_j , there exists an integral ideal S_j of RG such that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Phi_p(\sigma) \cdot RG \xrightarrow{\tau} S_j \longrightarrow B_j \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{RG}(B_j, A_i) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{RG}(S_j, A_i) \xrightarrow{\tau^*} \\ &\text{Hom}_{RG}(\Phi_p(\sigma) \cdot RG, A_i) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}(B_j, A_i) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}(S_j, A_i) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

The mapping τ^* is induced from τ as follows:

for each $f \in \text{Hom}_{RG}(S_j, A_i)$,

$$(\tau^* f)x = f(\tau x), \quad x \in \text{Hom}_{RG}(\Phi_p(\sigma) \cdot RG, A_i).$$

For convenience let $Y = \Phi_p(\sigma) \cdot RG$. Since S_j is RG -projective, we obtain $\text{Ext}(S_j, A_i) = 0$. Therefore,

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Ext}(B_j, A_i) \simeq \text{Hom}_{RG}(Y, A_i) / \tau^* \text{Hom}_{RG}(S_j, A_i).$$

Now set $y = \Phi_p(\sigma) \in Y$; then each $F \in \text{Hom}_{RG}(Y, A_i)$ is completely determined by the value $F(y) \in A_i$, and each $a \in A_i$ is of the form $F(y)$ for some such F . Thus

$$\text{Hom}_{RG}(Y, A_i) \simeq A_i$$

as RG -modules. Let us determine which elements in A_i correspond to elements in the image of τ^* . Because τ is the inclusion mapping, the image of τ^* in A_i is exactly $\Phi_p(\sigma)A_i$, and by (2.3) we obtain

$$\text{Ext}(B_j, A_i) \simeq A_i / \Phi_p(\sigma)A_i.$$

Since

$$\Phi_p(\sigma)a = pa, \quad a \in A_i,$$

we get

$$\text{Ext}(B_j, A_i) \simeq A_i / pA_i.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Note that p is unramified in R . If

$$pR = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_m$$

is the factorization of pR into distinct prime ideals of R , then

$$R/pR \simeq R/P_1 \oplus R/P_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/P_m \simeq \underbrace{F \oplus F \oplus \cdots \oplus F}_m,$$

where F is a finite field of characteristic p . Since

$$A_i/pA_i \simeq R/pR, \quad 1 \leq i \leq h_R,$$

we obtain that $\text{Ext}(B_j, A_i)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of m copies of F .

On the other hand, by the following pullback diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} RG & \longrightarrow & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & R/pR \end{array}$$

we define the group homomorphism $\varphi_{ij} : u(A_i) \times u(B_j) \longrightarrow u(R/pR)$. In addition, we define the group homomorphism $\pi_{s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k}^{(k)}$ from $u(A/pA) \simeq \underbrace{F^* \oplus F^* \oplus \cdots \oplus F^*}_m$ to $\underbrace{F^* \oplus \cdots \oplus F^*}_k$ ($F^* = F - \{0\}$) by

$$\pi_{s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k}^{(k)}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_m) = (a_{s_1}, \cdots, a_{s_k})$$

for every $k = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, and set

$$l_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{1 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_k \leq m} \left| \frac{\text{Im } \pi_{s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k}^{(k)}}{\text{Im } \pi_{s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k}^{(k)} \circ \varphi_{ij}} \right|.$$

Now we are ready to prove the following result:

Theorem. *Keep the above notations. Up to RG -isomorphism, there are $h_A + h_B + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq h_A, 1 \leq j \leq h_B} l_{ij}$ indecomposable RG -lattices, given by*

$$A_i, B_j, (B_j, A_i)_{k_{ij}} \quad (1 \leq i \leq h_A, 1 \leq j \leq h_B, 1 \leq k_{ij} \leq l_{ij})$$

where $(B_j, A_i)_{k_{ij}}$ are the isomorphism classes of non-splitting extensions of B_j by A_i .

Proof. Let M be an indecomposable RG -module. By the discussion at the beginning of this section, we know that M must be an extension of $J_1 \oplus J_2 \oplus$

$\cdots \oplus J_u$ by $I_1 \oplus I_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_t$ for some t and u . If $t = 0$, then we must have $M \simeq B_j$ for some j . While if $u = 0$, then $M \simeq A_i$ for some i .

Therefore, for the rest of the proof, we assume that both t and u are positive. Since M is indecomposable, we must have $t = u = 1$, that is, M must be an extension of B_j by A_i . It follows that $M \simeq A_i \oplus_R B_j$.

Now we consider the extensions of B_j by A_i ; each extension determines an extension class in $\text{Ext}(B_j, A_i)$, which is represented by an element $\bar{\alpha}_i$ in $\bar{A}_i = A_i/pA_i$. If $\bar{\alpha}_i = \bar{0}$, we get a split extension, which is clearly decomposable. On the other hand, we consider the orbits of $\text{Ext}(B_j, A_i)$ under the action of $\text{Aut}A_i \times \text{Aut}B_j$. Because φ_{ij} is not an epimorphism in general, there are l_{ij} -isomorphism classes of non-splitting extensions of B_j by A_i . \square

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to the referee for his useful advice.

References

- [1] F. E. Diederichsen, *Über die Ausreduktion ganzzahliger Gruppendarstellungen bei arithmetischer Äquivalenz*, Hamb. Abh. **14** (1940), 357–412.
- [2] A. Heller and I. Reiner, *Representations of cyclic groups in rings of integers, I*, Ann. of Math. **76** (1962), 73–92.
- [3] I. Reiner, *Integral representations of cyclic groups of prime order*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 142–146.

Kenshi Kida

Department of Mathematics, Science University of Tokyo
26 Wakamiya, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162, Japan